arXiv:0910.3879v1 [math.NT] 20 Oct 2009

MEROMORPHICITY OF SOME DEFORMED MULTIVARIABLE ZETA FUNCTIONS FOR F_1-SCHEMES

NORIHIKO MINAMI

Abstract. Motivated by recent work of Deitmar-Koyama-Kurokawa [DKK], Kurokawa-Ochiai [KO1] [KO2], Connes-Consani [CC], and the author [M1] [M2], we define multivariable deformed zeta functions of Hurwitz-Igusa type

$$\zeta^{HI}(s_1,\dots,s_r;a_1,\dots,a_r;w;X) \quad (\Re(a_i)>0,\ 1\le\forall i\le r)$$

for a Noetherian $\mathbb{F}_1$-scheme X in the sense of [CC]. Our zeta functions generalize both the zeta functions studied in [DKK] [KO2] and the log derivative of the modified Soulé type zeta function [CC]. For these zeta functions, we give an explicit presentation using the Hurwitz zeta function, from which, we show

1. When $w\in\mathbb{N}$, $\zeta^{HI}(s_1,\dots,s_r;a_1,\dots,a_r;w;X)$ is a meromorphic function of $s_1,\dots,s_r$.
2. When $a_1=\cdots=a_r=1$, $\zeta^{HI}(z_1,\dots,z_r;1,\dots,1;w;X)$ is a meromorphic function of $s_1,\dots,s_r,w$.

Our explict presentation, when restricted to the log derivative of the modified Soulé type zeta functions, gives us the following expression of the (generalized) Soulé zeta function [S] [CC] $\zeta_X(s)$ and the modified zeta function $\zeta_X^{\mathrm{disc}}(s)$ for a Noetherian $\mathbb{F}_1$-scheme X:

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta_X(s)&=e^{h_1(s)}\zeta_X^{\mathrm{disc}}(s)\\&=e^{h_2(s)}\prod_{p\in X}\left(\left(\prod_{j=0}^{n(p)}(s-j)^{\left(-\binom{n(p)}{j}(-1)^{n(p)-j}\right)}\right)^{\mu\left(\prod_j\mathbb{Z}/m_j(p)\mathbb{Z}\right)}\right),\end{aligned}$$

where, for each $p\in X$, $\mathcal{O}_{X,p}^{\times}=\mathbb{Z}^{n(p)}\times\prod_j\mathbb{Z}/m_j(p)\mathbb{Z}$, and $h_1(s)$ and $h_2(s)$ are some entire functions, and, for a finite abelian group $A=\prod_{j=1}^{k}(\mathbb{Z}/n_j\mathbb{Z})$,

$$\mu(A):=\sum_{a\in A}\frac{1}{|a|}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{lcm}(n_1,n_2,\dots,n_k)}\sum_{l=1}^{\mathrm{lcm}(n_1,n_2,\dots,n_k)}\gcd(l,n_1)\gcd(l,n_2)\cdots\gcd(l,n_k).$$

Since $\mu(A)$ is not necessarily a natural number, but a rational number in general, $\mu\left(\prod_j\mathbb{Z}/m_j(p)\mathbb{Z}\right)$ may be regarded as a local contribution at $p\in X$ of the obstruction for the "rationallty" of $\zeta_X(s)$ and $\zeta_X^{\mathrm{disc}}(s)$.

As is well-known [S] [K] [D], the original Soulé's zeta function [S] for $\mathbb{F}_1$-schemes (whose definition we follow [CC] in this paper) can not be defined for arbitrary $\mathbb{F}_1$-schemes . To overcome this dificulty, two completely different kinds of more general zeta functios for $\mathbb{F}_1$-schemes have been proposed recently; first by Deitmar-Koyama-Kurokawa [DKK] and Kurokawa-Ochiai [KO2], and, second by Connes-Consani [CC]. To review these two approaches, we mostly assume our $\mathbb{F}_1$-scheme X to be a Noetherian $\mathbb{F}_1$-scheme in the sennse of [CC]. In particular, X has finitely many points, and, $\forall p\in X$, the "residue field" $\mathcal{O}_{X,p}^{\times}$ is a finitely generated abelian group.

We now recall the first kind of zeta functions due to [DKK] [KO2]: Recently, Deitmar-Koyama-Kurokawa [DKK] defined *the absolue Igusa zeta function* $\zeta^I(s,X)$ for a Noetherian $\mathbb{F}_1$-scheme X by

$$\zeta^I(s,X)=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\#X(\mathbb{F}_{1^m})m^{-s}=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\Big|\operatorname{Hom}\left(\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_{1^m}),X\right)\Big|m^{-s}, \tag{1}$$

generalizing the (single variable) group zeta function of Igusa type $\zeta^I_{\mathfrak{Ab}}(s;A)$ for a finitely generated abelian group A with the following properties:

- For the affine $\mathbb{F}_1$-scheme $\mathbb{F}_1[A]$ determined by a finitely generated abelian group A,
$$\zeta^I(s,\operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{F}_1[A])=\zeta^I_{\mathfrak{Ab}}(s;A):=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\Big|\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{Ab}}(A,\mathbb{Z}/mZ)\Big|m^{-s}$$
- For X, a Noetherian F_1-scheme in the sense of Connes-Consani [CC],
$$\begin{aligned}\zeta^I(s,X)&=\sum_{p\in X}\zeta^I\left(s,\operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{F}_1[\mathcal{O}^\times_{X,p}]\right)\\ &=\sum_{p\in X}\zeta^I_{\mathfrak{Ab}}\left(s;\mathcal{O}^\times_{X,p}\right)=\sum_{p\in X}\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\Big|\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{Ab}}(\mathcal{O}^\times_{X,p},\mathbb{Z}/mZ)\Big|m^{-s}\end{aligned}$$

[DKK] evaluated $\zeta^I_{\mathfrak{Ab}}(s;A)$ for a general finitely generated abelian group A in two different ways, both of which are supposed to imply the meromorphicity of $\zeta^I_{\mathfrak{Ab}}(s;A)$ with respect to s. Although these computations in [DKK] are erroneous, the mistakes were fixed in [M2]. In particular, this implies, $\zeta^I(s,X)$ is a meromorphic function of s.

More recently, Kurokawa-Ochiai [KO2] defined *the multivariable group zeta function of Igusa type* $\zeta^I_{\mathfrak{Ab}}(s_1,\dots,s_r;A)$ for a finitely generated abelian group A:

$$\zeta^I_{\mathfrak{Ab}}(s_1,\dots,s_r;A):=\sum_{m_1,\dots,m_r\geq 1}\Big|\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{Ab}}(A,\mathbb{Z}/m_1\cdots m_r\mathbb{Z})\Big|m_1^{-s_1}\cdots m_r^{-s_r} \tag{2}$$

and proved its meromorphicity for the particular case when A is the cyclic group. Comparing (1) and (2), it is very natural to define *the multivariable absolue Igusa zeta function* $\zeta^I(s_1,\dots,s_r,X)$ for a Noetherian $\mathbb{F}_1$-scheme X by

(3)
$$\begin{aligned}\zeta^I(s_1\dots,s_r,X)&:=\sum_{m_1,\cdots,m_r\geq 1}\#X(\mathbb{F}_{1^{m_1\cdots m_r}})m_1^{-s_1}\cdots m_r^{-s_r}\\ &=\sum_{m_1,\dots,m_r\geq 1}\Big|\operatorname{Hom}\left(\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_{1^{(m_1\cdots m_r)}}),X\right)\Big|m_1^{-s_1}\cdots m_r^{-s_r}\\ &=\sum_{p\in X}\zeta^I_{\mathfrak{Ab}}\left(s_1,\dots,s_r;\mathcal{O}^\times_{X,p}\right)\\ &:=\sum_{p\in X}\sum_{m_1,\cdots,m_r\geq 1}^{\infty}\Big|\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{Ab}}(\mathcal{O}^\times_{X,p},\mathbb{Z}/m_1\cdots m_r\mathbb{Z})\Big|m_1^{-s_1}\cdots m_r^{-s_r}\end{aligned}$$

Both [DKK] and [KO2] obtained some elementary number theoretical identities, using these zeta functions. However, we [M1][M2] gave purely elementary number theoretical proofs for these identities and their generalizations from the view point of elementary probability theory. In fact, from the view point of elementary probability theory, it is vey natural to define and study *the deformed multivariable zeta function of Igusa type* $\zeta^I_{\mathfrak{Ab}}(s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_r; w; A)$ for a finitely generated abelian group A, by

(4)

$$\zeta^I_{\mathfrak{Ab}}(s_1, \ldots, s_r; w; A) := \sum_{m_1, \cdots, m_r \geq 1}^{\infty} \Big| \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{Ab}}(A, \mathbb{Z}/m_1 \cdots m_r\mathbb{Z}) \Big|^{w} m_1^{-s_1} \cdots m_r^{-s_r},$$

and *the deformed multivariable zeta function of Igusa type* $\zeta^I(s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_r; w; X)$ for a Noetherian $\mathbb{F}_1$-scheme X, by

(5)

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta^I(s_1, \ldots, s_r; w; X) &:= \sum_{p \in X} \zeta^I_{\mathfrak{Ab}}\left(s_1, \ldots, s_r; w; \mathcal{O}^{\times}_{X,p}\right) \\ &= \sum_{p \in X} \sum_{m_1, \cdots, m_r \geq 1}^{\infty} \Big| \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{Ab}}(\mathcal{O}^{\times}_{X,p}, \mathbb{Z}/m_1 \cdots m_r\mathbb{Z}) \Big|^{w} m_1^{-s_1} \cdots m_r^{-s_r},\end{aligned}$$

and study these properties. Incidently, motivated by some study of Casimir energy of infinite symmetric groups [KO1], [KO2] hinted some *deformed* zeta function like (4). Although the analyticity of the deformed multivariable zeta function of Igusa type is highly problematic, we shall prove in Corollary 0.2 its meromorphicity with respect to $a_1, \ldots, a_r, w$.

It should be pointed out that, for a finitely abelian group A, $\zeta^I_{\mathfrak{Ab}}(s_1, \ldots, s_r; w; A)$ posseses the multivariable Euler product (c.f. [BEL]):

(6)

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta^I_{\mathfrak{Ab}}(s_1, \ldots, s_r; w; A) &:= \sum_{m_1, \cdots, m_r \geq 1} \Big| \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{Ab}}(A, \mathbb{Z}/m_1 \cdots m_r\mathbb{Z}) \Big|^{w} m_1^{-s_1} \cdots m_r^{-s_r} \\ &= \prod_{p:\text{primes}} \sum_{k_1, \cdots, k_r \geq 0} \Big| \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{Ab}}(A, \mathbb{Z}/p^{k_1 + \cdots + k_r}\mathbb{Z}) \Big|^{w} p^{-(k_1 s_1 + \cdots + k_r s_r)}\end{aligned}$$

We now recall the second kind of zeta functions due to [CC]. Generalizing the domain of definitions of

$$N_X(n) := \#X(\mathbb{F}_{1^{n-1}}) \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqq 2}) \tag{7}$$

to arbitrary $n \in [1, \infty)$ by the Nevanlinna theory, Connes-Consani [CC] generalized the Soulé zeta function $\zeta_X(s)$ to an arbitrary Noetherian $\mathbb{F}_1$-scheme X by

$$\frac{\partial_s \zeta_X(s)}{\zeta_X(s)} = -\int_{n \geq 1} N_X(n) n^{-s-1} du \tag{8}$$

Furthermore, Connes-Consani [CC] defined *the modified Soulé zeta function* $\zeta^{\mathrm{disc}}_X(s)$ for a Noetherian $\mathbb{F}_1$-schme X by

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial_s \zeta^{\mathrm{disc}}_X(s)}{\zeta^{\mathrm{disc}}_X(s)} &= -\sum_{n \geq 1} N_X(n) n^{-s-1} = -\chi(X) - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \#X(\mathbb{F}_{1^m})(m+1)^{-s-1} \\ &= -\chi(X) - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \Big| \mathrm{Hom}\left(\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_{1^m}), X\right) \Big| (m+1)^{-s-1},\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where $\chi(X) := N_X(1)$ is called the Euler characteristic of X [S] [K].

Then, [CC] related $\zeta_X(s)$ and $\zeta_X^{\mathrm{disc}}(s)$ by establishing the expression

$$\zeta_X(s) = e^{h(s)}\zeta_X^{\mathrm{disc}}(s) \tag{10}$$

for some entire function $h(s)$. Thus, $\zeta_X^{\mathrm{disc}}(s)$ has the same singularities as $\zeta_X(s)$.

However, unlike (6), the Dirichlet series

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \#X(\mathbb{F}_{1^m})(m+1)^{-s-1} &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \Big| \operatorname{Hom}\left(\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_{1^m}), X\right) \Big| (m+1)^{-s-1} \\ &= \sum_{p\in X}\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \Big| \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{Ab}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X,p}^{\times}, \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}\right) \Big| (m+1)^{-s-1}\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

in (9) does not have an Euler product decomposition. Therefore, we would also like to incorporate such a zeta function without an Euler product decomposition into our study. Especially, we are interested in the essential ingredient in the characterization of the modified zeta function of Soulé type $\zeta_X^{\mathrm{disc}}(s)$:

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \Big| \operatorname{Hom}\left(\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_{1^m}), X\right) \Big| (m+1)^{-s-1} = \sum_{p\in X}\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \Big| \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{Ab}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X,p}^{\times}, \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}\right) \Big| (m+1)^{-s-1} \tag{12}$$

Now, with (23) and (12) as our principal motivation, we define *the deformed multivariable zeta function of Hurwitz-Igusa type* $\zeta_{\mathfrak{Ab}}^{HI}(s_1, \dots, s_r; a_1, \dots, a_r; w; A)$, for a finitely generated abelian gropup A, by

$$\begin{aligned}&\zeta_{\mathfrak{Ab}}^{HI}(s_1, \dots, s_r; a_1, \dots, a_r; w; A) \\ &:= \sum_{m_1,\cdots,m_r\geq 1} \Big| \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{Ab}}(A, \mathbb{Z}/m_1\cdots m_r\mathbb{Z}) \Big|^{w} (m_1 - 1 + a_1)^{-s_1}\cdots(m_r - 1 + a_r)^{-s_r} \\ &\qquad\qquad (\Re(a_i) > 0,\ 1 \leq \forall i \leq r),\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

and *the deformed multivariable zeta function of Hurwitz-Igusa type* $\zeta^{HI}(s_1, \dots, s_r; a_1, \dots, a_r; w; X)$ for a Noetherian $\mathbb{F}_1$-scheme X, by

$$\begin{aligned}&\zeta^{HI}(s_1, \dots, s_r; a_1, \dots, a_r; w; X) := \sum_{p\in X} \zeta_{\mathfrak{Ab}}^{HI}\left(s_1, \dots, s_r; a_1, \dots, a_r; w; \mathcal{O}_{X,p}^{\times}\right) \\ &= \sum_{p\in X}\sum_{m_1,\cdots,m_r\geq 1} \Big| \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{Ab}}(\mathcal{O}_{X,p}^{\times}, \mathbb{Z}/m_1\cdots m_r\mathbb{Z}) \Big|^{w} (m_1 - 1 + a_1)^{-s_1}\cdots(m_r - 1 + a_r)^{-s_r} \\ &\qquad\qquad (\Re(a_i) > 0,\ 1 \leq \forall i \leq r).\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Observe, for a Noetherian $\mathbb{F}_1$-scheme X,

$$\zeta^{HI}(s_1, \dots, s_r; 1, \dots, 1; w; X) = \zeta^{I}(s_1, \dots, s_r; w; X) \tag{15a}$$

$$\zeta^{HI}(s+1; 2; 1; X) = \sum_{p\in X}\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \Big| \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{Ab}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X,p}^{\times}, \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}\right) \Big| (m+1)^{-s-1} \tag{15b}$$

Of course, the analyticity of $\zeta^{HI}(s_1,\dots,s_r;a_1,\dots,a_r;w;X)$ is highly problematic, and the main result of this paper investigates this issue:

Theorem 0.1. *By use of the Hurwitz zeta function* $\zeta(s,q) := \sum_{n\geq 0}(n+q)^{-s}$ $(\Re(s) > 1, \Re(q) > 0)$*, the multivariable deformed zeta function of Hurwitz-Igusa type for a Noetherian* $\mathbb{F}_1$*-scheme* X

$$\zeta^{HI}(s_1,\dots,s_r;a_1,\dots,a_r;w;X) \quad (\Re(a_i) > 0,\ 1 \leq \forall i \leq r)$$

admits the following explicit presentation:

(16)

$$\begin{aligned} &\zeta^{HI}(s_1,\dots,s_r;a_1,\dots,a_r;w;X) \\ =&\sum_{p\in X}\zeta^{HI}_{\mathfrak{Ab}}(s_1,\dots,s_r;a_1,\dots,a_r;w;\mathcal{O}_{X,p}) \\ =&\begin{cases} \sum_{p\in X}\sum_{j_1,\dots,j_r=0}^{n(p)w}\left[\prod_{i=1}^{r}\left(\binom{n(p)w}{j_i}(1-a_i)^{n(p)w-j_i}\right)\right] \\ \quad\times\left[\sum_{k_1,\dots,k_r=1}^{l(p)}\left|\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{Ab}}(\Gamma_p,\mathbb{Z}/k_1\cdots k_r\mathbb{Z})\right|^{w}\prod_{i=1}^{r}\left(l(p)^{-(s_i-j_i)}\zeta\left(s_i-j_i,\frac{k_i-1+a_i}{l(p)}\right)\right)\right] \\ \hfill \textit{if } w\in\mathbb{N} \\ \sum_{p\in X}\left|\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{Ab}}(\Gamma_p,\mathbb{Z}/k_1\cdots k_r\mathbb{Z})\right|^{w}\prod_{i=1}^{r}\left(l(p)^{-(s_i-n(p)w)}\zeta\left(s_i-n(p)w,\frac{k_i}{l(p)}\right)\right) \\ \hfill \textit{if } a_1=\cdots=a_r=1, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where, $\forall p \in X$, $\mathcal{O}^{\times}_{X,p} = \mathbb{Z}^{n(p)} \times \Gamma_p$ *with* Γ_p *a finite abelian group such that* $l(p) = \mathrm{lcm}\{\mathrm{ord}(g) \mid g \in \Gamma\}$. *In particular,* $\zeta^{HI}(s_1,\dots,s_r;a_1,\dots,a_r;w;X)$ $(\Re(a_i) > 0,\ 1 \leq \forall i \leq r)$ *enjoys the following meromorphicities:*

1. *When* $w \in \mathbb{N}$, $\zeta^{HI}(s_1,\dots,s_r;a_1,\dots,a_r;w;X)$ *is a meromorphic function of* $s_1,\dots,s_r$.
2. *When* $a_1 = \cdots = a_r = 1$, $\zeta^{HI}(z_1,\dots,z_r;1,\dots,1;w;X)$ *is a meromorphic function of* $s_1,\dots,s_r,w$.

Proof. It suffices to study $\zeta^{HI}_{\mathfrak{Ab}}(s_1,\dots,s_r;a_1,\dots,a_r;w;A)$ for a finitely generated abelian group $A = \mathbb{Z}^n \times \Gamma$, where Γ is a finite abelian group with $l := \mathrm{lcm}\{\mathrm{ord}(g) \mid g \in \Gamma\}$: For $m_i \in \mathbb{N}$, set

$$G(m_1,\dots,m_r) := \left|\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{Ab}}(\Gamma,\mathbb{Z}/m_1\cdots m_r\mathbb{Z})\right|, \tag{17}$$

and write $m_i = ln_i + k_i$ with $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $1 \leq k_i \leq l$. Then,

$$G(m_1,\dots,m_r) = G(k_1,\dots,k_r). \tag{18}$$

Now, since

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{Ab}}(A,\mathbb{Z}/m_1\cdots m_r\mathbb{Z})\right|^{w}(m_1-1+a_1)^{-s_1}\cdots(m_r-1+a_r)^{-s_r}\\
=&\left|\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{Ab}}(\Gamma\times\mathbb{Z}^n,\mathbb{Z}/m_1\cdots m_r\mathbb{Z})\right|^{w}(m_1-1+a_1)^{-s_1}\cdots(m_r-1+a_r)^{-s_r}\\
=&G(m_1,\dots,m_r)^{w}(m_1\cdots m_r)^{nw}(m_1-1+a_1)^{-s_1}\cdots(m_r-1+a_r)^{-s_r}\\
=&G(m_1,\dots,m_r)^{w}\prod_{i=1}^{r}\left(m_i^{nw}(m_i-1+a_i)^{-s_i}\right)\\
=&\begin{cases} G(m_1,\dots,m_r)^{w} & \\ \qquad\times\prod_{i=1}^r\left((m_i-1+a_i)+(1-a_i)\right)^{nw}(m_i-1+a_i)^{-s_i} & \text{if } w\in\mathbb{N}\\ G(m_1,\dots,m_r)^{w}\prod_{i=1}^r\left(m_i^{nw}m_i^{-s_i}\right) & \text{if } a_1=\cdots=a_r=1\end{cases}\\
=&\begin{cases} G(m_1,\dots,m_r)^{w} & \\ \quad\times\prod_{i=1}^r\left(\sum_{j_i=0}^{nw}\binom{nw}{j_i}(m_i-1+a_i)^{j_i}(1-a_i)^{nw-j_i}\right)(m_i-1+a_i)^{-s_i} & \text{if } w\in\mathbb{N}\\ G(m_1,\dots,m_r)^{w}\prod_{i=1}^r\left(m_i^{-(s_i-nw)}\right) & \text{if } a_1=\cdots=a_r=1\end{cases}\\
=&\begin{cases} \sum_{j_1,\dots,j_r=0}^{nw}\prod_{i=1}^r\left(\binom{nw}{j_i}(1-a_i)^{nw-j_i}\right) & \\ \qquad\times G(m_1,\dots,m_r)^{w}\prod_{i=1}^r\left((m_i-1+a_i)^{-(s_i-j_i)}\right) & \text{if } w\in\mathbb{N}\\ G(m_1,\dots,m_r)^{w}\prod_{i=1}^r\left(m_i^{-(s_i-nw)}\right) & \text{if } a_1=\cdots=a_r=1,\end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

we see

$$\begin{aligned}
&\zeta^{HI}_{\mathfrak{Ab}}(s_1,\dots,s_r;a_1,\dots,a_r;w;A)\\
=&\sum_{m_1,\cdots,m_r\geq 1}\left|\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{Ab}}(A,\mathbb{Z}/m_1\cdots m_r\mathbb{Z})\right|^{w}(m_1-1+a_1)^{-s_1}\cdots(m_r-1+a_r)^{-s_r}\\
=&\begin{cases} \sum_{j_1,\dots,j_r=0}^{nw}\prod_{i=1}^r\left(\binom{nw}{j_i}(1-a_i)^{nw-j_i}\right) & \\ \qquad\times\sum_{m_1,\cdots,m_r\geq 1}G(m_1,\dots,m_r)^{w}\prod_{i=1}^r\left((m_i-1+a_i)^{-(s_i-j_i)}\right) & \text{if } w\in\mathbb{N}\\ \sum_{m_1,\cdots,m_r\geq 1}G(m_1,\dots,m_r)^{w}\prod_{i=1}^r\left(m_i^{-(s_i-nw)}\right) & \text{if } a_1=\cdots=a_r=1.\end{cases}
\end{aligned}\tag{19}$$

Thus,

(20)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{m_1,\cdots,m_r\geq 1} G(m_1,\dots,m_r)^{w}\prod_{i=1}^{r}\left((m_i-1+a_i)^{-(s_i-j_i)}\right)\\
&\overset{(18)}{=}\sum_{k_1,\dots,k_r=1}^{l}\ \sum_{n_1,\dots,n_r\geq 0} G(k_1,\dots,k_r)^{w}\prod_{i=1}^{r}\left((ln_i+k_i-1+a_i)^{-(s_i-j_i)}\right)\\
&= l^{-\sum_{i=1}^{r}(s_i-j_i)}\sum_{k_1,\dots,k_r=1}^{l} G(k_1,\dots,k_r)^{w}\sum_{n_1,\dots,n_r\geq 0}\prod_{i=1}^{r}\left(\left(n_i+\frac{k_i-1+a_i}{l}\right)^{-(s_i-j_i)}\right)\\
&= l^{-\sum_{i=1}^{r}(s_i-j_i)}\sum_{k_1,\dots,k_r=1}^{l} G(k_1,\dots,k_r)^{w}\prod_{i=1}^{r}\sum_{n_i\geq 0}\left(\left(n_i+\frac{k_i-1+a_i}{l}\right)^{-(s_i-j_i)}\right)\\
&= l^{-\sum_{i=1}^{r}(s_i-j_i)}\sum_{k_1,\dots,k_r=1}^{l} G(k_1,\dots,k_r)^{w}\prod_{i=1}^{r}\zeta\left(s_i-j_i,\frac{k_i-1+a_i}{l}\right)\\
&= \sum_{k_1,\dots,k_r=1}^{l} G(k_1,\dots,k_r)^{w}\prod_{i=1}^{r}\left(l^{-(s_i-j_i)}\zeta\left(s_i-j_i,\frac{k_i-1+a_i}{l}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}$$

and

(21)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{m_1,\cdots,m_r\geq 1} G(m_1,\dots,m_r)^{w}\prod_{i=1}^{r}\left(m_i^{-(s_i-nw)}\right)\\
&\overset{(18)}{=}\sum_{k_1,\dots,k_r=1}^{l}\ \sum_{n_1,\dots,n_r\geq 0} G(k_1,\dots,k_r)^{w}\prod_{i=1}^{r}\left((ln_i+k_i)^{-(s_i-nw)}\right)\\
&= l^{-\sum_{i=1}^{r}(s_i-nw)}\sum_{k_1,\dots,k_r=1}^{l} G(k_1,\dots,k_r)^{w}\sum_{n_1,\dots,n_r\geq 0}\prod_{i=1}^{r}\left(\left(n_i+\frac{k_i}{l}\right)^{-(s_i-nw)}\right)\\
&= l^{-\sum_{i=1}^{r}(s_i-nw)}\sum_{k_1,\dots,k_r=1}^{l} G(k_1,\dots,k_r)^{w}\prod_{i=1}^{r}\sum_{n_i\geq 0}\left(\left(n_i+\frac{k_i}{l}\right)^{-(s_i-nw)}\right)\\
&= l^{-\sum_{i=1}^{r}(s_i-nw)}\sum_{k_1,\dots,k_r=1}^{l} G(k_1,\dots,k_r)^{w}\prod_{i=1}^{r}\zeta\left(s_i-nw,\frac{k_i}{l}\right)\\
&= \sum_{k_1,\dots,k_r=1}^{l} G(k_1,\dots,k_r)^{w}\prod_{i=1}^{r}\left(l^{-(s_i-nw)}\zeta\left(s_i-nw,\frac{k_i}{l}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}$$

are both meromorphic functions of $s_1,\dots,s_r,w$.

Then, substituting (20) and (21) into (19), we find

(22)

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\zeta^{HI}_{\mathfrak{Ab}}(s_1,\dots,s_r;a_1,\dots,a_r;w;A)\\
&=\sum_{m_1,\cdots,m_r\geq 1}\Big|\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{Ab}}(A,\mathbb{Z}/m_1\cdots m_r\mathbb{Z})\Big|^{w}(m_1-1+a_1)^{-s_1}\cdots(m_r-1+a_r)^{-s_r}\\
&=\begin{cases}\sum_{j_1,\dots,j_r=0}^{nw}\prod_{i=1}^{r}\left(\binom{nw}{j_i}(1-a_i)^{nw-j_i}\right)l^{-\sum_{i=1}^{r}(s_i-j_i)}\\ \qquad\times\sum_{k_1,\dots,k_r=1}^{l}G(k_1,\dots,k_r)^{w}\prod_{i=1}^{r}\zeta\left(s_i-j_i,\frac{k_i-1+a_i}{l}\right)\\ & \text{if } w\in\mathbb{N}\\ l^{-\sum_{i=1}^{r}(s_i-nw)}\sum_{k_1,\dots,k_r=1}^{l}G(k_1,\dots,k_r)^{w}\prod_{i=1}^{r}\zeta\left(s_i-nw,\frac{k_i}{l}\right) & \text{if } a_1=\cdots=a_r=1.\end{cases}\\
&=\begin{cases}\sum_{j_1,\dots,j_r=0}^{nw}\left[\prod_{i=1}^{r}\left(\binom{nw}{j_i}(1-a_i)^{nw-j_i}\right)\right]\\ \qquad\times\left[\sum_{k_1,\dots,k_r=1}^{l}G(k_1,\dots,k_r)^{w}\prod_{i=1}^{r}\left(l^{-(s_i-j_i)}\zeta\left(s_i-j_i,\frac{k_i-1+a_i}{l}\right)\right)\right] & \text{if } w\in\mathbb{N}\\ \sum_{k_1,\dots,k_r=1}^{l}G(k_1,\dots,k_r)^{w}\prod_{i=1}^{r}\left(l^{-(s_i-nw)}\zeta\left(s_i-nw,\frac{k_i}{l}\right)\right) & \text{if } a_1=\cdots=a_r=1.\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now the claim follows from these obsrevations and (19). ☐

Corollary 0.2. *The deformed multivariable zeta function of Igusa type* $\zeta^{I}(s_1,s_2,\dots,s_r;w;X)$ *for a Noetherian* $\mathbb{F}_1$*-scheme* X*:*

(23)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\zeta^{I}(s_1,\dots,s_r;w;X)&:=\sum_{p\in X}\zeta^{I}_{\mathfrak{Ab}}\left(s_1,\dots,s_r;w;\mathcal{O}^{\times}_{X,p}\right)\\
&:=\sum_{p\in X}\sum_{m_1,\cdots,m_r\geq 1}^{\infty}\Big|\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{Ab}}(\mathcal{O}^{\times}_{X,p},\mathbb{Z}/m_1\cdots m_r\mathbb{Z})\Big|^{w}m_1^{-s_1}\cdots m_r^{-s_r}\\
&=\sum_{p\in X}\Big|\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{Ab}}(\Gamma_p,\mathbb{Z}/k_1\cdots k_r\mathbb{Z})\Big|^{w}\prod_{i=1}^{r}\left(l(p)^{-(s_i-n(p)w)}\zeta\left(s_i-n(p)w,\frac{k_i}{l(p)}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

is a meromorphic function of $s_1,\dots,s_r,w$*.*

.

Proof. This immediately follows from Theorem 0.1 (2) and (15a). ☐

Now the special case of the deformed modified zeta function of Soulé [S] type $\zeta^{\mathrm{disc}}_{X}(s;w)$, characterized by

$$
\frac{\partial_s\zeta^{\mathrm{disc}}_{X}(s;w)}{\zeta^{\mathrm{disc}}_{X}(s;w)}\equiv-\sum_{p\in X}\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\Big|\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{Ab}}\left(\mathcal{O}^{\times}_{X,p},\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}\right)\Big|^{w}(m+1)^{-s-1}, \tag{24}
$$

modulo a constant, is of particular importance.

For this purpose, we recall from [M2] the invariant $\mu(A)$ for a finite abelian group A defined by

$$
\mu(A):=\sum_{a\in A}\frac{1}{|a|}, \tag{25}
$$

where $|a|$ stands for the order of an element $a \in A$. When $A = \prod_{j=1}^{k} (\mathbb{Z}/n_j\mathbb{Z})$, the following evaluation is obtained in [M2]:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu(A) &:= \sum_{a \in A} \frac{1}{|a|} = \frac{1}{|A|} \sum_{l=1}^{|A|} \Big| \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{Ab}}(A, \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}) \Big| \\
&= \frac{1}{\mathrm{lcm}(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)} \sum_{l=1}^{\mathrm{lcm}(n_1,n_2,\dots,n_k)} \Big| \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{Ab}}(A, \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}) \Big| \qquad (26)\\
&= \frac{1}{\mathrm{lcm}(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)} \sum_{l=1}^{\mathrm{lcm}(n_1,n_2,\dots,n_k)} \gcd(l, n_1) \gcd(l, n_2) \cdots \gcd(l, n_k),
\end{aligned}$$

whose last quantity was essentially first considered by [DKK].

When $A = \prod_{j=1}^{k} (\mathbb{Z}/n_j\mathbb{Z})$ and $w \in N$, $A^{w} = \prod_{j=1}^{k} (\mathbb{Z}/n_j\mathbb{Z})^{w}$. Thus, applying (26) to the case A^{w}, we obtain the following:

$$\mu(A^{w}) = \frac{1}{\mathrm{lcm}(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)} \sum_{l=1}^{\mathrm{lcm}(n_1,n_2,\dots,n_k)} \Big| \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{Ab}}(A, \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}) \Big|^{w} \qquad (27)$$

Finally, we are able to state and prove our main result for the deformed modified zeta function of Soulé [S] type $\zeta_X^{\mathrm{disc}}(s; w)$:

Theorem 0.3. *We have the following expression for the deformed modified zeta function of Soulé* [S] *type for a Noetherian $\mathbb{F}_1$-scheme X when $w \in \mathbb{N}$:*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\zeta_X^{\mathrm{disc}}(s; w) \\
&= e^{h(s;w)} \prod_{p \in X} \left(\left(\prod_{j=0}^{n(p)} (s-j)^{\left(-\binom{n(p)w}{j}(-1)^{n(p)w-j}\right)} \right)^{\mu(\Gamma_p^{w})} \right). \qquad (28)
\end{aligned}$$

Here, for all $p \in X$,

$$\mathcal{O}_{X,p}^{\times} = \mathbb{Z}^{n(p)} \times \Gamma_p, \quad l(p) := \mathrm{lcm}\{\mathrm{ord}(g) \mid g \in \Gamma_p\}, \qquad (29)$$

with Γ_p a finite abelian group; and $h(s; w)$ is some entire function of s depending upon $w \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Applying the preceeding results, we analyze the right hand side of (24):

(30)

$$\begin{aligned}
&- \sum_{p \in X} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \Big| \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{Ab}} \left(\mathcal{O}_{X,p}^{\times}, \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \right) \Big|^{w} (m+1)^{-s-1} \\
&\overset{(13)}{=} - \sum_{p \in X} \zeta_{\mathfrak{Ab}}^{HI} \left(s+1, 2; w; \mathcal{O}_{X,p}^{\times} \right) \\
&\overset{(22)}{=} - \sum_{p \in X} \sum_{j=0}^{n(p)w} \binom{n(p)w}{j} (-1)^{n(p)w-j} l(p)^{-(s+1-j)} \sum_{k=1}^{l(p)} G_p(k)^{w} \zeta \left(s+1-j, \frac{k+1}{l(p)} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$G_p(k) \overset{(17)}{=} \Big| \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{Ab}} \left(\Gamma_p, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z} \right) \Big|. \qquad (31)$$

Since the Hurwitz zeta $\zeta(s;q)$ only has a pole of residue 1 at $s=1$, we see the only singularities of (30) are poles at $s=j\in\cup_{p\in X}\{0,\cdots,n(p)\}$ with residue

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\sum_{p\in X}\sum_{j=0}^{n(p)w}\binom{n(p)w}{j}(-1)^{n(p)w-j}l(p)^{-(1)}\sum_{k=1}^{l(p)}G(k)^{w}\\
&=\sum_{p\in X}\sum_{j=0}^{n(p)w}\left(-\binom{n(p)w}{j}(-1)^{n(p)w-j}\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{l(p)}G(k)^{w}}{l(p)}\right)\\
&\overset{(31)}{=}\sum_{p\in X}\sum_{j=0}^{n(p)w}\left(-\binom{n(p)w}{j}(-1)^{n(p)w-j}\right)\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{l(p)}\left|\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{Ab}}\left(\Gamma_p,\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}\right)\right|^{w}}{l(p)}\\
&\overset{(27)}{=}\sum_{p\in X}\sum_{j=0}^{n(p)w}\left(-\binom{n(p)w}{j}(-1)^{n(p)w-j}\right)\mu(\Gamma_p^{w})
\end{aligned}\tag{32}$$

Now the claim follows immediately. □

Further restricting to the case $w=1$, we arrive at the following observation, which may be viewed as an eventual reconciliation of the two approaches of Deitmar-Koyama-Kurikawa [DKK] and Connes-Consani [CC]:

Theorem 0.4. *the following expression of the (generalized) Soulé zeta function* $\zeta_X(s)$ [S] [CC] *and the modified zeta function* $\zeta_X^{\mathrm{disc}}(s)$ *for a Noetherian* $\mathbb{F}_1$*-scheme* X*:*

$$\begin{aligned}
\zeta_X(s)&=e^{h_1(s)}\zeta_X^{\mathrm{disc}}(s)\\
&=e^{h_2(s)}\prod_{p\in X}\left(\left(\prod_{j=0}^{n(p)}(s-j)^{\left(-\binom{n(p)}{j}(-1)^{n(p)-j}\right)}\right)^{\mu\left(\prod_j\mathbb{Z}/m_j(p)\mathbb{Z}\right)}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where, for each $p\in X$, $\mathcal{O}_{X,p}^{\times}=\mathbb{Z}^{n(p)}\times\prod_j\mathbb{Z}/m_j(p)\mathbb{Z}$, *and* $h_1(s),h_2(s)$ *are some entire functions, and, furthermore, for a finite abelian group* $A=\prod_{j=1}^{k}(\mathbb{Z}/n_j\mathbb{Z})$,

$$\mu(A):=\sum_{a\in A}\frac{1}{|a|}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{lcm}(n_1,n_2,\ldots,n_k)}\sum_{l=1}^{\mathrm{lcm}(n_1,n_2,\ldots,n_k)}\gcd(l,n_1)\gcd(l,n_2)\cdots\gcd(l,n_k).$$

□

Remark 1. *Since* $\mu(A)$ *is not necessarily a natural number, but a rational number in general,* $\mu\left(\prod_j\mathbb{Z}/m_j(p)\mathbb{Z}\right)$ *may be regarded as a local contribution at* $p\in X$ *of the obstruction for the "rationality" of* $\zeta_X(s)$ *and* $\zeta_X^{\mathrm{disc}}(s)$*.*

Acknowledgements. The basic idea of the results in this paper were obtained during the author's stay at JAMI2009, Johns Hopkins University, in March 2009, and presented at NCGOA2009, Vanderbilt University, in May 2009, and at the Fall Meeting of the Mathematical Society of Japan at Osaka University, in September 2009. The author would like to express his gratitude to Katia Concani, Alain Connes, Jack Morava, Takashi Ono, Steve Wilson, and Guoliang Yu for their hospitalities. The author also would like to express his gratitude to Nobushige Kurokawa for his work and encouragement.

References

[BEL] G. Bhowmik, D. Essouabri, B. Lichtin, "*Meromorphic continuation of multivariable Euler products*," Forum Math. 19 (2007) 1111-1139.

[CC] Alain Connes and Caterina Consani, "*Schemes over* $\mathbb{F}_1$ *and zeta functions*," arXiv-0903.2024v2

[D] Anton Deitmar, "*Remarks on zeta functions and* K*-theory over* $\mathbb{F}_1$," Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. 82 (2006), no. 8, 141–146

[DKK] Anton Deitmar, Shin-ya Koyama and Nobushige Kurokawa, "*Absolute zeta functions*," Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. 84 (2008), no. 8, 138–142

[K] Nobushige Kurokawa, "*Zeta functions over* $\mathbb{F}_1$," Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. 81 (2005), no. 10, 180–184

[KO1] Nobushige Kurokawa and Hiroyuki Ochiai, "*Zeta functions and Casimir energies on infinite symmetric groups.*" Comment. Math. Univ. St. Pauli 56 (2007), no. 1, 11–25

[KO2] Nobushige Kurokawa and Hiroyuki Ochiai, "*A multivariable Euler product of Igusa type and its applications,*" Journal of Number Theory, 12 pages, Available online 10 March 2009.

[M1] Norihiko Minami, "*On the random variable* $\mathbb{N}^r \ni (k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_r) \mapsto \gcd(n, k_1 k_2 \cdots k_r) \in \mathbb{N}$, "arXiv-0907.0916v1

[M2] Norihiko Minami, "*On the random variable* $\mathbb{N} \ni l \mapsto \gcd(l, n_1)\gcd(l, n_2)\cdots\gcd(l, n_k) \in \mathbb{N}$*,*"arXiv-0907.0918v2

[S] C. Soulé, "*Les variétés sur le corps a un élément*," Mosc. Math. J. 4 (2004), no. 1, 217-244.

Omohi College, Nagoya Institute of Technology, Gokiso, Showa-ku, Nagoya 466-8555
E-mail address: `nori@nitech.ac.jp`